COURT-II IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (Appellate Jurisdiction)

IA NO. 584 OF 2019, IA NO. 585 OF 2019 & IA NO. 586 OF 2019 IN DFR NO. 1236 OF 2019

Dated: 29th April, 2019

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member

In the matter of:

 Kamachi Industries Ltd.

 Versus

 Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr.
 Respondent(s)

 Counsel for the Appellant (s) :
 Mr. Anand K. Ganesan

 Counsel for the Respondent(s) :
 Mr. S. Vallinayagam

 Ms. S. Amali for R-2

ORDER IA No. 584 of 2019 (For Condonation of Delay in Filing the Appeal)

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No.2. Respondent No.1, though served, is unrepresented.

The learned appearing for the Appellant submitted that, there is a delay of 23 days in filing the Appeal. Further, he pointed out and submitted that, in the light of the submissions made and the reasoning given in the application, the delay has been explained satisfactorily and sufficient cause has been shown in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay in filing the Appeal may kindly be condoned and the instant application may kindly be allowed in the interest of justice and equity.

Per contra, the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 inter alia contended and submitted that in the light of above, delay explained in the application may kindly be considered and appropriate order may be passed to meet the ends of justice.

Submissions of the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No.2, as stated above, are placed on record.

.... Appellant(s)

In the light of the submissions of the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and after perusal of the reasoning given in the application explaining the delay in filing the Appeal, the delay has been explained satisfactorily in the application and sufficient cause has been shown. The same was accepted and the delay in filing the Appeal is condoned. IA is allowed

IA No. 586 of 2019 (For dispense the requirement for impleading other parties)

The learned counsel, Mr. Anand K. Ganesan, appearing for the Appellant, submitted that, the instant IA has been filed by the Appellant for dispense the requirement for impleading other parties. In the light of the statement made in the application and the reasons assigned therein, the same may kindly be accepted and the IA may kindly be allowed.

Submissions of the counsel for the Appellant, as stated supra, are placed on record.

In the light of the submission of the counsel for the Appellant and the statement made in the application, the same was accepted and IA is allowed at the risk of the counsel for the Appellant.

Order accordingly.

DFR NO. 1236 OF 2019

Registry is directed to number the appeal and list the matter for admission on <u>21.05.2019.</u>

(Ravindra Kumar Verma) Technical Member mk/bn (Justice N.K. Patil) Judicial Member